Rutherford Evaluation Committee Recommendations for the *Custodial and Management Services RFP*

- 1. List of Proposers:
 - GCA
 - Pritchard
 - Temco

2. Cost of Proposals (Listed alphabetically) :

PROPOSAL	<u>PROPOSAL FORM A</u> - PRICING			GCA				Pritchard				<u>Temco</u>			
Description	Details	<u>10-0</u> %	1-2013 to 6-30-2014 Total Charges		014 to 6-30-2015 Total Charges	10- %	01-2013 to 6-30-2014 Total Charges	7-1 %	-2014 to 6-30-2015 Total Charges	10- %	01-2013 to 6-30-2014 Total Charges	7-1 %	-2014 to 6-30-2015 Total Charges		
Custodial	Charge for Employee Wages Charge for Employee Heath Care Benefits Charge for Employee Other Fringe Benefits	<u>34%</u> 1%	<u>\$242,904.92</u> <u>\$82,979.00</u> \$3,598.00	37% 1% 17%	\$323,873.22 \$118,467.00 \$3,598.00	34 <u>%</u> 8%	\$156,000.00 \$53,760.00 \$11,852.08	36% 7%	\$208,000.00 \$75,126.02 \$14,337.67	$\frac{37\%}{2\%}$	\$91,406.25 \$33,600.15 \$1,384.65	3 <u>7%</u> 2%	\$121,875.00 \$44,800.20 \$1,846.20		
PROPOSAL FORM A - PRICING	Charge for Employee Payroll Taxes (195 Work Days x 8 hrs. per day) Number of FTEs (1 FTE=2080 Hours per Year) - Average Hourly Wage Rate -	17% 1560 12.348 12.61	\$40,905.19 FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes	17%	\$54,540.25	18% 1560 8.00 \$12.50	\$27,859.60 FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes	20%	\$4 <u>0,6</u> 40.49	20% 1560 4.69 \$12.50	\$18,458.91 FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes	2 <u>0%</u>	<u>\$24,611.88</u>		
Custodial - Lead	Charge for Employee Wages Charge for Employee Heath Care Benefits Charge for Employee Other Fringe Benefits Charge for Employee Payroll Taxes	0% 0% 0%	Solution Solution	0% 0% 0%	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	34% 7% 18%	\$80,184.00 \$26,880.00 \$5,218.64	<u>34%</u> 7%	\$106,912.00 \$35,840.00 \$7,442.72 \$19,461.60	35% <u>1%</u> 20%	\$133,087.50 \$47,040.21 \$1,992.96	35% 1% 20%	\$177,450.00 \$62,720.28 \$2,657.28 \$35,822.88		
	Number of Hours 10-01-2013 to 6-30-2014 Number of FTEs (1 FTE=2080 Hours per Year) - Average Hourly Wage Rate -	0% 1560 0.00 \$0.00	FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes	0%	\$0.00	1560 4.00 \$12.85	\$14,319.83 FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes	<u>18</u> %	<u>\$19,401.00</u>	1560 6.56 \$13.00	\$26,867.16 FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes	20%			
Custodial Overtime	Charge for Employee Wages Charge for Employee Payroll Taxes Number of Hours 10-01-2013 to 6-30-2014 Number of FTEs (1 FTE=2080 Hours per Year) -	17% 966 1,287	\$18,271.89 \$3,069.68 Hrs. Hours	17 <u>%</u>	\$24,343.61 \$4,097.03	17% 966 1,287	\$18,619.65 \$3,165.34 Hrs. Hours	<u>18</u> %	\$24,806.93 \$4,348.45	18% 966 1,287	\$18,537.54 \$3,330.27 Hrs.	<u>18%</u>	\$24,697.53 \$4,440.36		
	Charge for Employee Wages Charge for Employee Wages	\$18.92 23%	Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$29,640.00 \$6,720.00	24%	\$39,520.00 \$9,594.00	\$19.28 0%	Excluding Benefits & Taxes	2%	\$4 <u>3,056.00</u> \$996.00	\$19.19 14%	Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$29,640.00 \$4,167.00	14%	<u>\$39,520.00</u> \$5,556.00		
On-Site Managers 	Charge for Employee Other Fringe Benefits Charge for Employee Payroll Taxes Number of Hours 10-01-2013 to 6-30-2014	2% 17% 1560	\$592.80 \$4,991.38	2% 17%	\$790.40 \$6,655.17	3% 17% 1560	\$937.12 \$5, <u>62</u> 6.18	<u>3%</u> 18%	\$1,437.78 \$7,609.49	2% 12% 1560	\$521.64 \$3,553.56	2% 1 <u>2%</u>	\$695.52 \$4,738.08		
	Number of FTEs (1 FTE=2080 Hours per Year) - Average Hourly Wage Rate - Charge for Employee Wages	1.00 \$19.00	FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$0.00		\$0.00	\$20.70	FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$0.00		<u>\$0.00</u>	1.00 \$19.00	FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$0.00		\$0.00		
Clerical — — — — — — —	Charge for Employee Heath Care Benefits Charge for Employee Other Fringe Benefits Charge for Employee Payroll Taxes Number of Hours 10-01-2013 to 6-30-2014	0% 0% 0% 1560	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0% 0% 0%	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0% 0% 0%	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0% 0% 0%	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0% 0% 0% 1560	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00	0% 0% 0%	\$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		
Contractor Start Up Charges – attac	Number of FTEs (1 FTE=2080 Hours per Year) - Average Hourly Wage Rate -	0.00 \$0.00	FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$3,752.00		\$5,002.67	0.00	FTEs Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$0.20		\$0.27	0.00	FT <u>Es</u> Excluding Benefits & Taxes \$0.00		\$0.00		
Total amount amortized over 3 year		\$18,760	\$4,000.00		\$5,333.33	\$1	\$4,000.00		\$5,333.33	\$ 0	\$4,000.00		\$5,333.33		
Contractor Charge for Computerized Contractor Charge for Office and or Contractor Charge for Green Custod			\$250.00 NA NA		\$250.00 NA NA		\$1.00 \$1.00 \$3,697.26		\$1.00 \$1.00 \$4,227.55		\$200.00 \$0.00 \$0.00		\$266.67 \$0.00 \$0.00		
Contractor Charge for On-Going Op Contractor Management Fee	erating Costs	5.0%	\$13,382.25 \$24,600.00	5.0%	\$17,843.00 \$33,950.00	3.3%	\$0.00 \$15,625.14	3.3%	\$0.00 \$21,954.41	1.5%	\$4,428.57 \$6,588.63	1.5%	\$5,904.76 \$9,417.36		
District Charge for Contract Monito TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE			\$9,000.00 \$488,657.10 \$651,542.80		\$12,000.00		\$9,000.00 \$469,039.04 \$625,385.38		\$12,000.00		\$9,000.00 \$437,805.00 \$583,740.00		\$12,000.00		
TOTAL CONTRACT CHARGE TOTAL CONT		se for 20	14-15 - Input Amount	2.0%	\$13,340.00 \$673,197.67 \$1,161,854.77			4.3%	\$27,166.99 \$660,699.68 \$1,129,738.72			7.2%	\$42,166.67 \$626,520.00 \$1,064,325.00		
	Total for Health Benefits Total for Other Fringe Benefits Total for Employee Payroll Taxes excluding overtime Does proposal form A1 Healthcare Costs Match? Does Staffing in Pricing Match Form C Staffing? Number assumed taking Healthcare		\$89,699.00 \$4,190.80 \$45,896.56 - No, 13.348 vs 13.3846 staffing 13.348		\$128,061.00 \$4,388.40 \$61,195.42 Yes		\$80,640.00 \$18,007.84 \$47,805.61 - No, 13 vs 13.385 staffing 12		\$111,962.02 \$23,218.16 \$67,711.58 No, matches partial year		\$84,807.36 \$3,899.25 \$48,879.63 - No 12.25 vs 14.125 staffing 13		\$113,076.48 \$5,199.00 \$65,172.84 No, matches partial year		

3. Recommendation of the Rutherford Custodial RFP Proposal Committee:

The following was the criteria used by committee in evaluating the proposals:

	CRITERIA	Weighting	Points
	The criteria that will be considered in evaluating proposals are:	Factor	(5 is highest)
1.	Program Price: What is the price of the program proposed and its impact upon the district's operating budgets? Are the charges detailed in the proposal form realistic; i.e., heath care costs, payroll taxes, management fee, etc.	15%	1 to 5
2.	Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of		
	performance: Considers the contractor's capability and experience as measured by financial statements, performance record, litigation, years in the industry, number of public school districts served and references.	10%	1 to 5
3.	 On-Site Supervisor: Considers the references; proposal resumes, face to face interviews and any other method to discover the capabilities and skill level of the on-site management. At a minimum the proposed candidate must demonstrate the following: On- site Supervisor(s): Must have at least three year's experience in managing a comparable sized public school district. Must have more than four years' experience in the facilities management industry. Must have a high school diploma or GED equivalent diploma. Must be in the process of obtaining or have a Black Seal License by 10-15-2013. 	25%	1 to 5
4.	Staffing Viability: Considers whether wages are sufficient to recruit and maintain a stable workforce by comparing the Contractors proposed wage rates to the NJ Dept. of Labor's most current New Jersey Department of Labor OES survey for median average wages for the District's county for custodial, management and clerical positions, consultant's recommendation as well as to current wage rates. Are benefits and paid time off provided/offered and employee contribution to insurance premiums and copays/deductibles sufficient to recruit and maintain a stable workforce? Also considers whether the number of custodial, management and clerical staff provided/recommended by the Contractor is sufficient to meet the Scope of Work in this RFP?	25%	1 to 5
5.	Contractor's Proposed Program: Is the contractor's program, systems, training, and procedures for custodial and management services thorough and comprehensive to meet the scope of work?	15%	1 to 5
6.	Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan: Is the contractor's startup plan customized to the start of this program? Is the plan detailed from pre- planning (30 days prior to the start of the contract) through the start of the contract and the first three months to December 31, 2013? Did it detail the additional management/resources they will be providing as well as the startup task, any requirements for the District, implementation date, estimated completion date, and who is responsible (name and title)? Did the plan have 100 or more different (not repetitive) tasks listed covering the startup activities in implementation, management, HR, custodial and training? Was it submitted in Excel format or a Gantt chart?	15%	1 to 5

Upon review of the proposals submitted, and based upon the RFP evaluation criteria, the committee concludes that the Pritchard proposal is most advantageous for the Rutherford Board of Education. The following evaluation scores resulted as scored by the evaluation committee:

- 1. GCA-18.825
- 2. Pritchard-21.050 Highest Score
- 3. Temco- 14.325

The following details each members score as well as the summary for each company.

Rutherford Evaluations of Award Crit	teria for	Custo	dial & Ma	anageme	nt Serv	ices	
		ng Points Awarded			Weighted Points		
CRITERIA	Percent	GCA	Pritchard	Temco	GCA	Pritchard	Temco
Program Price	15%	3.00	4.00	5.00	0.450	0.600	0.750
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	10%	5.00	4.00	3.00	0.500	0.400	0.300
On-Site Supervisor	25%	3.00	3.00	3.00	0.750	0.750	0.750
Staffing Viability	25%	4.00	4.00	1.00	1.000	1.000	0.250
Contractor's Proposed Program	15%	4.00	4.00	3.00	0.600	0.600	0.450
Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan	15%	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.600	0.600	0.600
TOTALS	105%	23.00	23.00	19.00	3.900	3.950	3.100
Committee Member: Mr. Anthony Paterno	Weighing		ts Awarded (· · · · ·		Veighted Poi	
CRITERIA	Percent	GCA	Pritchard	Temco	GCA	Pritchard	Temco
Program Price	15%	3.00	4.00	5.00	0.450	0.600	0.750
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	10%	4.00	4.00	1.00	0.400	0.400	0.100
On-Site Supervisor	25%	2.00	4.00	3.00	0.500	1.000	0.750
Staffing Viability	25%	3.00	4.00	1.00	0.750	1.000	0.250
Contractor's Proposed Program	15%	3.00	4.00	1.00	0.450	0.600	0.150
Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan	15%	3.00	5.00	1.00	0.450	0.750	0.150
TOTALS	_	18.00	25.00	12.00	3.000		2.150
Committee Member: Mr. Bill Mulcahy	Weighing Percent	GCA	ts Awarded (Pritchard	Temco	GCA	Veighted Poir Pritchard	Temco
CRITERIA Program Price					0.450		
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	15% 10%	3.00	4.00 4.50	5.00 3.00	0.450	0.600	0.750
On-Site Supervisor	25%	3.00	4.00	3.00	0.400	1.000	0.300
Staffing Viability	25%	4.00	4.00	2.00	1.000	1.000	0.750
Contractor's Proposed Program	15%	5.00	4.00	3.00	0.750	0.600	0.300
Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan	15%	4.50	3.00	4.50	0.730	0.450	0.430
TOTALS		23.50	23.50	20.50	4.025		3.425
Committee Member: Mr. Jack Hurley	Weighing		its Awarded (Veighted Poir	
	Percent	GCA	Pritchard	Temco	GCA	Pritchard	Temco
Program Price							
	15%	4.00	4.00	5.00	0.600	0.600	0.750
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	15% 10%	4.00	4.00 5.00	5.00 1.00	0.600	0.600	0.750 0.100
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	10%	4.00	5.00	1.00	0.400	0.500	0.100
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program	10% 25%	4.00 3.00	5.00 5.00	1.00 3.00	0.400 0.750	0.500 1.250	0.100 0.750
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability	10% 25% 25%	4.00 3.00 5.00	5.00 5.00 5.00	1.00 3.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250	0.500 1.250 1.250	0.100 0.750 0.500
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program	10% 25% 25% 15% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan	10% 25% 25% 15% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 26.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 17.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 0.750 4.500	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 0.450 3.000
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% 105%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 26.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 17.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 0.750 4.500	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 0.450 3.000
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% 05% Weighing	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5)	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 0.750 4.500	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 0.450 3.000 nts
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% 05% Weighing Percent 15% 10%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 GCA 0.450 0.400	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600 0.300	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 1ts Temco 0.750 0.300
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% 05% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.400 0.750	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 1ts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability	10% 25% 25% 15% 105% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.400 0.750 0.750	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 ts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.400 0.750 0.750 0.450	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.500 0.300
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan	10% 25% 25% 15% 105% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 ts Awarded Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.750 0.450 0.450 0.450	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Point Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600 0.450	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 ts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.300 0.300
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Proposed Program TOTALS TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 3.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 ts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.400 0.750 0.750 0.450	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Point Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600 0.450	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.500 0.300
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Proposed Program TOTALS TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS	10% 25% 25% 15% 105% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 ts Awarded Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.750 0.450 0.450 0.450	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Point Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600 0.450	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 ts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.300 0.300
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS TOTALS TOTALS	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15% 15%	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 20.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 28.00 ts Awarded Pritchard 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.450	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poir Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poir	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.500 0.300 0.300 0.300 2.650
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS TOTALS Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Contractor's Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Contractor's Contractor's Contractor's Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's C	10% 25% 25% 15% 105% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15% 15% 0TALS	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 20.00 Poir GCA	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 ts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 21.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00 1 to 5) Temco	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.450 0.450 0.600 3.400	0.500 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poir Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.450 3.700	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.500 0.300 0.300 0.300 2.650
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS TOTALS TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion	10% 25% 25% 15% 105% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 25% 15% 15% 0TALS Weighing	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 20.00 Poir	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 21.00 etts Awarded (Pritchard 20.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00 1 to 5)	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.450	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poir Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poir	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.500 0.300 0.300 0.300 0.300
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance TOTALS Common CRITERIA TOTALS Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan CRITERIA Program Price CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance	10% 25% 25% 15% 105% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 15% 15% 0TALS Weighing Percent	4.00 3.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 20.00 Poir GCA	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 21.00 21.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 5.00 3.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00 1 to 5) Temco	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.750 0.750 0.450 0.600 3.400 V GCA 2.400 2.100	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poir Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.600 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poir Pritchard	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.300 0.300 2.650 nts Temco
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 15% 105% OTALS Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 4.00 20.00 Poir GCA 16.00 21.00 14.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 tts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 21.00 21.00 etts Awarded (Pritchard 20.00 20.50 19.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00 1 to 5) Temco 25.00 11.00 14.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.450 0.600 3.400 V GCA 2.400 2.100 3.500	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poin Pritchard 3.000 2.050 4.750	0.100 0.750 0.500 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.500 0.300 0.300 0.300 2.650 nts Temco 3.750
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% Weighing Percent 15% 25% 25% 15% 105% OTALS Weighing Percent 15% 105%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 20.00 Poir GCA 16.00 21.00 14.00 19.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 ts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 21.00 ets Awarded (Pritchard 20.00 20.50 19.00 21.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00 1 to 5) Temco 25.00 11.00 14.00 8.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.450 0.600 3.400 V GCA 2.400 2.100 3.500 4.750	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poir Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poir Pritchard 3.000 2.050 4.750 5.250	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 nts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.300 0.300 0.300 2.650 nts Temco 3.750 1.100
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability, strength, capability and record of performance CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance Cn-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability	10% 25% 25% 15% 15% Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 105% OTALS Weighing Percent 15% 105% 0TALS	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 3.00 14.00 20.00 Poir GCA 16.00 21.00 14.00 19.00 20.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 ts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 3.00 21.00 Pritchard Pritchard Pritchard 20.00 20.50 19.00 21.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 1.00 5.00 5.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 1.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450000000000	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poin Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poin Pritchard Pritchard 3.000 2.050 4.750 5.250 3.150	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 3.000 ts Temco 0.750 0.300 0.500 0.300000000
Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Proposed Program Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Committee Member: Ms. Joan Carrion CRITERIA Program Price Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan TOTALS Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability Contractor's financial viability, strength, capability and record of performance On-Site Supervisor Staffing Viability	10% 25% 25% 15% 10% Weighing Percent 15% 25% 25% 10% 15% 0TALS Weighing Percent 15% 10% 25% 25% 10%	4.00 3.00 5.00 5.00 26.00 Poir GCA 3.00 4.00 3.00 3.00 4.00 20.00 Poir GCA 16.00 21.00 14.00 19.00	5.00 5.00 5.00 4.00 28.00 ts Awarded (Pritchard 4.00 3.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 21.00 Pritchard Pritchard 20.00 20.50 19.00 21.00 21.00	1.00 3.00 2.00 3.00 17.00 1 to 5) Temco 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 16.00 1 to 5) Temco 25.00 11.00 14.00 8.00	0.400 0.750 1.250 0.750 4.500 V GCA 0.450 0.450 0.450 0.750 0.450 0.600 3.400 V GCA 2.400 2.100 3.500 4.750	0.500 1.250 1.250 0.750 0.600 4.950 Veighted Poir Pritchard 0.600 0.300 0.750 1.000 0.450 3.700 Veighted Poir Pritchard 3.000 2.050 4.750 5.250	0.100 0.750 0.450 0.450 0.450 3.000 1ts Temco 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.300 0.500 0.300 2.650 1.100 3.750 1.100 3.500 2.000

- GCA: 18.825 Points GCA came in third for pricing. The school districts served and references had them rank first regarding Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance. In reviewing the resume and interview of GCA's proposed candidate, they tied for second for On-Site Management. GCA ranked second for Staffing Viability. GCA came in second for the Contractors Proposed Program as they met the requirements in the RFP. GCA received the highest ranking for Contractor's Startup/Transition Plan as it was detailed and organized.
- 2. Pritchard: 21.050 Points Pritchard took second in pricing. Pritchard received the second highest score for Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance due to their references, corporate support and resources. In reviewing the resume and the interview of Pritchard's proposed candidate, they received the highest ranking for On-Site Management Team. Due to their proposed staffing, Pritchard received the highest ranking for Staffing Viability. Pritchard achieved the highest score for Contractor's Proposed Program as they met the requirements of the RFP. Finally their Startup Plan/Transition Plan ranked second for its comprehensiveness as it was slightly less detailed than GCA's.
- 3. Temco: 14.325 Points Temco came in first in regards to Program Price. Because of past performance at the District they ranked third for Contractor's Capability and Record of Performance. After reviewing the proposed management's resume and interview, Temco tied for second for On Site Management. They proposed the least amount of custodial FTE's which led to them ranking last for Staffing Viability. Temco ranked last for Contractor's Proposed Program and for their Start Up/Transition Plan because they lacked the comprehensiveness and detail of the other two.